

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 3311/2006/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th December 2006.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Lafarge Roofing (Calicut) Pvt. Ltd., 1/25 A, Feroke, Kozhikode-673 631 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Tile Workers Union (GITU), Cheruvannur P. O., Feroke in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kozhikode. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the casual workers of Lafarge Roofing (Calicut) Pvt. Ltd., Feroke is entitled to get wages equal to the permanent workers? If so, the quantum.

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 3312/2006/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th December 2006.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Officer in-Charge, F. A. C. T. Mixing Unit, Vellayil, Calicut and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri A. P. Sivadasan, S/o Neelakandan, Velivalappil House, P. O. Mannur, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of employment of Sri A. P. Sivadasan by the Management of FACT Mixing Unit, Calicut justifiable? If not what are the relief entitled to the worker.

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 3323/2006/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th December 2006.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Executive Director, M. G. F. Motors Limited, Mattancherry Halt, Willington Island, Kochi-692 029 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri. Gabriel S. Varuva, Maliyeckal House, Bolgatty Mulavukadu P. O., Kochi-682 504 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Gabriel S. Varuva, Maliyeckal House, Bolgatty, Mulavukadu P. O., Kochi-682 504 by the management of M. G. F. Motors Limited, Willington Island, Cochin is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 249/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd January 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, NAFCO Natural Employing and Finishing Company, Ponnadu P. O., Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, The Travancore Coir Factory Workers Union (AITUC), Sugathan Smaraka Mandiram, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Ramesan (Pulakkattuchira, S.L. Puram P. O., Alappuzha-688 542) by NAFCO Employment and Finishing Company is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

G.O. (Rt.) No. 250/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd January 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between (1) the Managing Director, Oil Palm India Ltd., Old Star Theater Road, Kottayam-686 039 (2) Manager, Oil Palm India Ltd., Chithara Estate, Kadakkal, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. J. Joseph, Kalathilchira, Karumady P.O., Ambalappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the premature termination of the service of Sri K. J. Joseph, worker of Oil Palm India Ltd., Chithara Estate, Kadakkal by the management with effect from 31-10-1994 and denial of arrear wages for different periods in the charter of demands is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

- (6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 251/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd January 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri M. Prakashan (License), Blangad Wood Industries, No. 12/439, P.O. Kadappuram, Thrissur-680 514 (2) Sri K. V. Jose, (License), Blangad Wood Industries No. 12/439, P.O. Kadappuram, Thrissur-680 514 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Velayudhan, Payyappadan House, P.O. Thalare, Thrissur-680 306 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. K. Velayudhan (wood cutter) by the Management of Blangad Wood Industries, P. O. Kadappuram, Thrissur-680 514 is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 252/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd January 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri M. Prakashan (License), Blangad Wood Industries, No. 12/439, P.O. Kadappuram Thrissur-680 514 (2) Shri K. V. Jose, (License) Blangad Wood Industries, No. 12/439, P.O. Kadappuram, Thrissur-680 514 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri T. S. Sasi, Thapetty House, P. O. Madu, Orumanayoor, Chavakkad, Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947),

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri J. Sathyadas, Attender of Laila Petroleum (Indian Oil Dealer) Thazhepalam, Tirur by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief is he entitled to get?

(12)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 834/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th March 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. T. V. Jameela, w/o T. V. Muhammad, Managing Partner, Laila Petroleum (Indian Oil Dealer) Kaithavalappil Veedu, Thazhepalam, Tirur and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri P. Velayudhankutty, Ponnarath Veedu, Theyyalingal P.O., Thanoor, Malappuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Sri P. Velayudhankutty, Shop Assistant of Laila Petroleum (Indian Oil Dealer) Thazhepalam, Tirur by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief is he entitled to get?

(13)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 898/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Manager, Civil Supplies Corporation, LPG Gas outlet (Bharat Gas) S. K. Temple Road, Kozhikode, (2) Sri K. M. Swaroopkumar, Delivery Contractor, L. P. G. Gas outlet, Civil Supplies Corporation, Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kozhikode Taluk Commercial Employees Union (GITU), Palayam Road, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. K. Suresh, delivery boy, by the management of Civil Supplies Corporation, L. P. G. Gas outlet, S. K. Temple Road, Puthiyara, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(14)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 893/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri E. V. Padmanabhan, Proprietor, Padmaleela Bus, Near Central Arts Club, Velloor P. O., Payyannoor, Kannur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kannur District Motor and Engineering Mazdoor Sangham (BMS), Payyampalam, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri P. Radhakrishnan, worker, Padmaleela Bus by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(15)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 903/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Sobhana, V. V. w/o. P. Sukumaran, Kushal Nagar, Kanjangad and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. Narayanan, s/o Late T. Kunjikoran, Nittadukkam Veedu, Kanjangad P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Narayanan by the management of Sushobh Printing Press is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

SUSY EAPEN,

Under Secretary to Government.